

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	Ю.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,450		07/12/2004	Kevin W. Guernsey	2243.0	4449
9748	7590	12/09/2004		EXAM	INER
	M, L.L.C.		HESS, DOUGLAS A		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT 220 LAITRAM LANE				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HARAH	HARAHAN, LA 70123			3651	
				DATE MAILED: 12/09/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

to a contract of the contract	•	۱۵
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/710,450	GUERNSEY, KEVIN W. V
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Douglas A Hess	3651
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- reply within the statutory minimum of thirty- iod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTatute, cause the application to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ T 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matte	• •
Disposition of Claims		·
4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 12 July 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ object the drawing(s) be held in abeyand rection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a line	ents have been received. ents have been received in Appriority documents have been read (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	ummary (PTO-413) /Mail Date formal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450 Page 2

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Anderson (US Pat. 4,840,269).

See the attached marked up drawing figures 1 and 3 of Anderson depicting the claimed features in their broadest interpretation.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 3, 6, and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Anderson in view of the applicant's disclosure.

Anderson discloses the device as claimed except for utilizing a vibration welding process to form the weld on the conveyor components. Anderson is silent on what type of weld process

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450

Art Unit: 3651

he uses. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice as to the type of welding process used to join the conveyor modules. The applicant's disclosure (paragraph 15 of the specification) discusses the vibration welding process (by Branson Ultrasonics Corp.) as claimed, and then further states "But other welding technologies can be used accordingly with similar effect. Some examples include epoxies, electromagnetic welding, ultrasonic welding, orbital vibration welding, hot plate welding, and hot gas welding." Therefore, it would have been obvious to assume that Anderson utilizes one of the above mentioned welding processes on his conveyor in place of the claimed vibration welding, since the applicant states that these other welding choices provide a similar effect or end result.

Page 3

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas A Hess whose telephone number is 703-308-3428. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Thurs 5:30 4:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chris Ellis can be reached on 703-308-2560. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,450

Art Unit: 3651

7. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

Page 4

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Douglas A Hess Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

12-8-04

DAH December 8, 2004

